

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/532,586	04/25/2005	Kenji Saito	2005_0635A	4361
513	7590 06/13/200		EXAM	INER
WENDERC 2033 K STRI	OTH, LIND & PONA	SCRUGGS, ROBERT J		
SUITE 800	CEIN. W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006-102		3723	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/532,586	SAITO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Scruggs	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Ap	oril 2006.					
·— ·	action is non-final.					
· —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15 and 16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/25/05.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						
Taper Hoto/niviali Date <u>1/2000</u> .						

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, in the reply filed on April 28, 2006 is acknowledged. Claim 14 has been withdrawn therefore claims 1-13, 15 and 16 will be fully examined.

## **Priority**

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application Nos. 2002-323209, 2002-323210 and 2002-323212 filed on November 6, 2002.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1 the applicant discloses that the liquid medium is made without any hydrogen then, in claim 7, the applicant introduces hydrogen peroxide, which obviously contains hydrogen. This limitation does not agree with the claim 1 and must be corrected in order to overcome this error.
- 5. Claims 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The applicant claims a vacuum member in claim 15. However, previous to these claims the applicant had been claiming a process for

Art Unit: 3723

treating a surface of a vacuum member. This process has been considered to be the inventive concept, not a vacuum member. Is the applicant trying to claim a vacuum member having certain properties as well?

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-13, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Higuchi et al. (JP2000-071164) in view of Noguchi et al. (JP11-350200) and Li et al. (6458017). Higuchi et al. and Noguchi et al. as mentioned in the applicant's specification disclose the known process of surface treating an inner surface of vacuum member by first mechanically polishing the vacuum member with a liquid medium containing hydrogen atoms, then subjecting the vacuum member to a chemical or electrochemical polishing process. Higuchi et al. and Noguchi et al. lack the liquid medium being absent of any hydrogen atoms. However, Li et al. teaches that any liquid medium found in the *Lange's Handbook of Chemistry* (Column 8, Lines 62-64) would be useful in a polishing process and also includes an example of one such liquid medium that is absent of any hydrogen atoms (i.e. fluorine perchlorate). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the liquid medium used in the known process, of Higuchi et al. and Noguchi et al. with a

Art Unit: 3723

liquid medium absent of any hydrogen atoms, as taught by Li et al. in order to provide a liquid medium that is reproducible, not easily damaged and longer lasting.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. DeSpain et al. (4780993) discloses a surface treating method where various types of liquid mediums can be selected (Column 5, Lines 19-29). Konishi et al. (6120361) discloses a polishing method having a fluorine compound (Column 4, Lines 9-12). Kusano et al. (5041304) discloses a surface treating method where hydrogen atoms are replaced with fluorine atom in a gas or liquid compound. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert Scruggs whose telephone number is 571-272-8682. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/532,586

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DAVID B. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

RS